

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	' ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,144	07/09/2001	Atsushi Maki	500.34077CC3	6500	
20457	7590 11/24/2003		EXAMINER		
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			MANTIS MERCADER, ELENI M		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209-9889		3737		
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	. W	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

্ক		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/900,144	MAKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eleni Mantis Mercader	3737	1. w.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Extending - If th - If N - Fail - Any earr	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 resized for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely, n the mailing date of this commi	unication.			
Status 4\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 J	luly 2004					
1)⊠	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	is action is non-final.					
2a) ☐	, 						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	tion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1196	a)-(d) or (f)				
• —	□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:	priority under do d.d.d. 3 170(a) (a) 01 (i).				
u,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
1	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	ity documents have been receiv		ge			
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2-</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15				

A STATE OF THE PERSON OF THE P

Application/Control Number: 09/900,144

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is above a word count of 150.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,611,698. Although the

Application/Control Number: 09/900,144

Art Unit: 3737

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent alternate variations and groupings.

- 5. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,542,763. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent alternate variations and groupings.
- 6. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,282,438. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent alternate variations and groupings.
- 7. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,128,517. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent alternate variations and groupings.
- 8. Claims 1-46 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 5,678,556. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they represent alternate variations and groupings.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,144

Art Unit: 3737

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 9. examiner should be directed to Eleni Mantis Mercader whose telephone number is 703 308-0899. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 8:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on 703 308-2262. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0858.

> Elevallantinhoud Eleni Mantis Mercader Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3737